



// [BASIS Online](#) / [Science & Education](#) / The DRAM - Drinking Report for Addiction Medicine



Send [this page](#) to a friend

Volume 2, Number 1 - January 25, 2006

School's In Session: How Do College Addiction Policies Stack Up?

The start of college can be a challenge for freshman. Incoming students encounter new environments, friends, and lifestyles. Students also might have first-time contact or increased access to new experiences, such as the use of alcohol and drugs. Because college students face few restrictions, these new experiences place them at increased risk for alcohol problems. Over 80% of college students report drinking alcohol and almost 50% of college students report binge drinking (Wechsler, Davenport, Dowdall, Moeykens, & Castillo, 1994). Findings like these have prompted researchers to look at what influences students' drinking and how substance use is regulated on campuses. Shaffer, Donato, LaBrie, Kidman, and LaPlante (2005) conducted the first national study of drinking- and gambling-related policymaking on college campuses, aiming to encourage the development of science-guided school policy. This week's DRAM reviews the research, which found that while there are some commonalities to college policies, colleges often take very different approaches to alcohol use and alcohol-related problems.

The study examined the alcohol and gambling policies published in the student handbooks, websites, and supplementary materials of 117 scientifically selected colleges (the same sample used in the 2001 Harvard School of Public Health College Alcohol Study). Researchers collected 164 documents in total and assigned 11 coders the job of examining each school's policies. Certain policies were collapsed into the primary items from which they originated (e.g., "alcohol is prohibited on-campus for students ≥ 21 " and "on-campus alcohol restrictions in place for students ≥ 21 " were both included in "policy on alcohol use on-campus for students ≥ 21 "). After collapsing the redundant policy items, 22 alcohol policy variables remained. Raters decided whether schools indicated that they had policies relevant to the defined topics, such as content and target.

The authors found that most colleges have some type of prohibition policy (forbidding the use of substances). More than 97% of schools had a policy stating that alcohol is prohibited for students under 21 years old, more than 87% of schools had a policy on alcohol use on campus for students over 21 years old, and more than 64% of schools had a policy addressing alcohol container restrictions on-campus (Shaffer et al., 2005).

Recovery-oriented policies (focusing on treatment) were sufficiently identified among published policy at fewer than 30% of the schools (Shaffer et al., 2005). Less than 2% of schools had a published policy in place about using a leave of absence for recovery and less than 6% of schools had a published policy in place that would allow students to participate in recovery while living in the dorms. Less than 11% of schools had sufficiently identified published policies

about students who develop an alcohol problem while in school, who already are in recovery upon entry to school, or who enter recovery while in school.

TABLE 1: PREVALENCE OF COLLEGE ALCOHOL POLICIES & POLICY ATTRIBUTES (ADAPTED FROM SHAFFER ET AL., 2005)

Prohibition-Oriented Policy & Policy Attributes	Prevalence (%)
Written policy on alcohol use	100.0
Policy states that alcohol is prohibited for students <21	97.4
Policy on alcohol use on campus for students ≥21	87.2
Policy addresses alcohol at sanctioned on-campus events for students ≥21	68.4
Policy on container restrictions on campus	64.2
Policy makes clear how the campus informs students of the official school alcohol policy	43.6
School policy is to defer to local laws on alcohol consumption	28.6
Policy addresses total alcohol quantity limits at on-campus sanctioned events	26.5
School offers alcohol-free campus housing	22.2
Restrictions on off-campus alcohol use for students ≥21	21.4
Policy on total alcohol quantity limits at off-campus sanctioned events	8.5
Policy on alcohol container restrictions off-campus	6.0

Recovery-Oriented Policy & Policy Attributes	Prevalence (%)
Campus makes referrals to off-campus recovery program for students w/ alcohol disorders	57.3
Campus operates a recovery program for students with alcohol use disorders	28.2
Policy on students who develop an alcohol problem after entry	10.3
Policy on students who enter alcohol recovery while attending school	7.7
Policy allowing students to participate in recovery while living in dorm	7.2
Policy on students already in recovery upon entry to school	6.0
Policy permits students in an alcohol recovery program to live in a dorm on campus	5.1
Policy on students who have an alcohol problem upon entry	3.4
Policy permits students a leave of absence to participate in a recovery program	1.7

There were some methodological limitations to this study. Because the study only used information from policy materials that were sent by each school or that were publicly available, the researchers may have unintentionally omitted policy information from other policy materials, such as orientation information sessions or pamphlets. Further, the sample included only 117 colleges, while there are more than 630 public 4-year institutions and more than 1830 private 4-year institutions in the U.S. (Fact Monster, 2005). Another limitation is that this study examined policy in theory but not necessarily in practice. Schools may rely more on informal rules, case-by-case basis, and established precedents to regulate alcohol and substance use rather than enforce policy strictly "by the book."

Alcohol and drug use on college campuses might be reduced if more recovery-oriented policies were established. This is an empirical question worthy of scientific investigation. By helping students with addictive behaviors recover and offering them a choice to change, colleges could help students with alcohol problems before serious consequences develop (e.g., academic difficulties, traumatic injuries, high-risk sexual behavior, and impaired driving).

Students and parents deciding on a school whose published policies are consonant with their concerns should look at college addiction policies and resources to make an informed decision. This information might be posted on the school

website or published in the student handbook. Possible questions to consider include: if a student is inebriated and in potential medical danger, whether a friend can bring the student to a university health center for medical attention without risk of penalty or discipline; whether there are peer counselors available who have been trained in the areas of alcohol or substance abuse; and whether there is an early intervention program or protocol for students having alcohol or other substance problems.

What do you think? [Click Here and let us know!](#) Comments can be addressed to Bree Tse.

References

Fact Monster. (2005). *Number of U.S. Colleges and Universities and Degrees Awarded*. Retrieved January 19, 2006, from <http://www.factmonster.com/ipka/A0908742.html>

LaBrie, R. A., Shaffer, H. J., LaPlante, D. A., & Wechsler, H. (2003). Correlates of college student gambling in the United States. *Journal of America College Health*, 52(2), 53-62.

Shaffer, H. J., Donato, A. N., LaBrie, R. A., Kidman, R. C., & LaPlante, D. A. (2005). The epidemiology of college alcohol and gambling policies. *Harm Reduction Journal*, 2(1).

Wechsler, H., Davenport, A., Dowdall, G., Moeykens, B., & Castillo, S. (1994). Health and behavioral consequences of binge drinking in college. A national survey of students at 140 campuses. *Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA)*, 272(21), 1672-1677.

Copyright © the President and Fellows of Harvard College